## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

KATHRYN COX, et al.,

v.

Plaintiffs,

NO. 2:13-CV-02288-MJP

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY,

Defendant.

[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FEES

Under FRCP 54(d)(2), Plaintiffs have moved for an award of attorney fees. The court makes the following findings of fact and states its conclusions of law in granting the motion:

## **Findings of Fact**

- 1. Plaintiffs Kathryn Cox, et al. request an award of attorney fees under the Washington Consumer Protection Act ("CPA") (RCW 19.86.090). Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their fees under the CPA because the jury returned a verdict in their favor on their CPA claim and they are therefore the prevailing party under the CPA.
- 2. The Court has carefully reviewed the declarations of Plaintiffs' counsel and the detailed attorney billing records of Plaintiffs' counsel that were filed under seal with the Court. Continental did not provide its own attorney billing records for comparison.

25

26

- 3. The Court finds that the hourly rates of Plaintiffs' counsel are reasonable and that the number of hours billed by Plaintiffs' counsel is reasonable. Continental does not dispute these findings. The lodestar amount, based on these findings, is therefore \$1,966,652.50.
- 4. The Court also finds that (a) the CPA, negligence, and bad faith claims pursued by Plaintiffs involve a common core of facts and are based on related legal theories, (b) all of the work performed by Plaintiffs' counsel was necessary and inextricably linked to the pursuit of the CPA claim, (c) Plaintiffs would not have pursued the case any differently had they only pursued a CPA claim to the exclusion of other claims, and (d) fees incurred in pursuing other claims cannot reasonably be segregated in determining an award of fees.
- 5. A multiplier of 2.0 is warranted because (a) the fee agreement between Plaintiffs and their counsel was fully contingent with no guarantee of payment in the event of a loss, (b) the quality of the work performed by Plaintiffs' counsel was very high and unusually good, and (c) the contingency risk factor was significant given the likelihood of success when viewed at the outset of the litigation.

## **Conclusions of Law**

- 6. A prevailing party may recover attorney fees under the CPA. RCW 19.86.090; Besel v. Viking Ins. Co. of Wisconsin, 105 Wn. App. 463, 485, 21 P.3d 293 (2001) ("Because Mr. Besel has prevailed on his CPA claim, he may recover reasonable fees pursuant to RCW 19.86.090."), rev. on other grounds, 146 Wn.2d 730, 49 P.3d 887 (2002); Miller v. Kenny, 180 Wn. App. 772, 820, 325 P.3d 278 (2014). A party also may recover fees for presenting a fee request or in defending its entitlement to fees. Fisher Properties, Inc. v. Arden-Mayfair, Inc., 115 Wn.2d 364, 378, 798 P.2d 799 (1990).
- 7. "Federal courts are required to apply state law in diversity actions with regard to the allowance or disallowance of attorney fees." *Michael-Regan Co. v. Lindell*, 527 F.2d 653, 656 (9th Cir. 1975). Under Washington law, prevailing party attorney fees are calculated using the lodestar method by multiplying hours reasonably spent pursuing a claim by reasonable

25

26

1	Presented by:
2	PETERSON   WAMPOLD
3	ROSATO   LUNA   KNOPP
4	/s/ Ann H. Rosato
5	Ann H. Rosato, WSBA No. 32888 Michael S. Wampold, WSBA No. 26053
6	Felix G. Luna, WSBA No. 27087 Mallory C. Allen, WSBA No. 45468
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	1501 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2800 Seattle, WA 98101
9	Phone: 206.624.6800 Fax: 206.682.1415
10	Email: rosato@pwrlk.com wampold@pwrlk.com
11	luna@pwrlk.com
12	allen@pwrlk.com
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FEES - 4 CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02288-MJP

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the date shown below I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

**Dated**: March 6, 2015.

PETERSON | WAMPOLD ROSATO | LUNA | KNOPP

/s/ Dana Vizzare

Dana Vizzare, Paralegal 1501 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 2800 Seattle, WA 98101 Ph. 206-624-6800 Email: dana@pwrlk.com

[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FEES - 5 CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02288-MJP